| | Case 1:02-cv-05456-OWW-TAG | Document 31 | Filed 12/20/05 | Page 1 of 2 |
|--|--|----------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | UNIT | ΓED STATES DIS | STRICT COURT | |
| 17 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 20 | WILLIAM MURPHY, Petitioner, |) | | OWW-TAG-HC |
| 21 | v. |) | RECOMMEND | TING FINDINGS AND ATIONS |
| 22 | ANA RAMIREZ PALMER, |) | (Doc. 28) ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR | |
| 23 | Respondent. |) | WRIT OF HABI (Doc. 1) | |
| 24 | | | ` ' | CTING CLERK OF |
| 25 | | | COURT TO EN | TER JUDGMENT |
| 26 | Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus | | | |
| 27 | pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. | | | |
| 28 | On October 21, 2005, the Magistrate Judge assigned to the case filed a Report and | | | |
| | | | | |

Recommendations recommending that the petition for writ of habeas corpus be DENIED on the 1 2 merits. (Doc. 28). The Report and Recommendations were served on all parties and contained 3 notice that any objections were to be filed within thirty days from the date of service of that order. 4 After obtaining an extension of time, Petitioner timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's 5 Report and Recommendations on November 28, 2005. (Doc. 30). 6 /// 7 /// 8 /// 9 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Petitioner's objections, 10 11 the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations are supported by the 12 record and proper analysis. Petitioner's objections present no grounds for questioning the Magistrate Judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 13 1. The Report and Recommendations issued October 21, 2005 (Doc. 28), are ADOPTED IN 14 15 FULL; 16 2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 1), is Dismissed; and 17 3. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to ENTER JUDGMENT for Respondent and close the file. 18 19 This order terminates the action in its entirety. 20 21 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Oliver W. Wanger UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 23 **December 19, 2005** Dated: emm0d6 24 25 26 27 28